

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)	Group Art Unit: 2175
)	
Edward Eytchison <i>et al.</i>)	Examiner: Long, Andrea Natae
)	
Serial No.: 10/763,701)	RESPONSE TO NOTIFICATION OF
)	NON-COMPLIANT APPEAL BRIEF
Filed: January 22, 2004)	
)	162 North Wolfe Road
For: METHODS AND APPARATUSES)	Sunnyvale, California 94086
FOR PRESENTING CONTENT)	(408) 530-9700
)	
_____)	Customer No.: 28960

Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please review the response to the notice of non-compliant appeal brief below.

III. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

Claims 1, 2, 4-14, 16-25 and 27-29 are pending in this case. Claims 3, 15 and 26 have been canceled. Claims 1, 2, 4-14, 16-25 and 27-29 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0013852 to Janik (hereinafter “Janik”, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit A) in view of United States Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0021994 to Nash (hereinafter “Nash”, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit B). Within this Appeal Brief, the rejections of Claims 1, 2, 4-14, 16-25 and 27-29 are appealed.

REMARKS

Within the Notification of Non-compliant Appeal Brief, it has been stated that the brief does not contain the status of all of the claims. By the above correction, the status of all of the claims is included. Therefore, the Notification of Non-compliant Appeal Brief should be withdrawn so that the Examiner is able to provide an Answer to the Appeal Brief.

Respectfully submitted,
HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP

Dated: November 30, 2009

By: /Jonathan O. Owens/
Jonathan O. Owens
Reg. No.: 37,902
Attorney for Applicant